



Gravity Model Recommendations for the next ITRF

F.G. Lemoine
IDS Analysis Working Group Meeting
Toulouse, France
April 4, 2013





Background

- For ITRF2008, IDS AC's used models such as GGM03S, EIGEN-GL04S, GGM03C.
- The above models are no longer adequate:
- I. Models determined over a finite interval; The total gravity field of the Earth (static + time-varying) has departed from the representation supplied by those models.
- II. There are indications that the parsimonious representation of TVG wrt to a static model (as in the above models) is not adequate for DORIS LEO satellites, especially after 2008.
- III. Newer models present issues because although they are determined over the GRACE data period (2002 -2010 or 2002-2011) it is not clear how best to extrapolate them for periods prior to 2002.





Classes of Available Gravity Models (1)

Model	
Satellite-only or Combination (Comprehensive models)	<u>Data:</u> GRACE+Lageos; GRACE+GOCE+Lageos; or same models + surface gravity & altimetry.
	<u>Representation</u> : Static field to high degree + Secular terms + Annual + Semiannual variations to lower degree.
	<u>Problem:</u> How reliable is extrapolation of field to non-GRACE time period (> 2012, or < 2002)?
Time series	<u>Data:</u> GRACE + Lageos; GRACE-only (e.g. monthly or 10-day solutions); SLR+DORIS
	Representation: 50x50, 60x60? + static field (GRACE-derived); 4x4 + static field (SLR+DORIS) Problems: (1) Latency; (2) Solution interval might be less than available DORIS data interval; (3) For 4x4 SLR +DORIS series, C ₄₀ not reliable before launch of Stella (~Oct. 1993)



Classes of Available Gravity Models (2)



Model	
Time-series-derived (1)	<u>Data:</u> SLR+DORIS to multiple satellites
	<u>Representation</u> : Static field to high degree + Secular terms + various periodic terms to 4x4.
	<u>Problem:</u> (1) How reliable is extrapolation of field to non-data period(> 2012, or < 1993);







Model	Description
EIGEN-6C2 (GFZ/GRGS, Förste et al., 2012)	<u>Data:</u> GRACE (March 2003 – Dec. 2010), Lageos1+2 (1985-2010), GOCE (2009-2011), Surface Data. <u>Type of Model:</u> Static terms (379x379) + secular + annual +semiannual to 50x50.
EIGEN-6S (GFZ/GRGS, Förste et al., 2011)	<u>Data:</u> Same as above. No Surface Data. (Satellite-only model). <u>Type of Model:</u> Static terms (240x240) + secular + annual +semiannual to 50x50.
GOCO2S (Goiginger et al., 2011); GOCO3S (xxx)	<u>Data:</u> GRACE (7 yrs); CHAMP (8 yrs); GOCE (8 months); Lageos1+Lageos2 (5 years). <u>Type of Model:</u> static model (280x280). Time-varying terms not publically available.



Gravity Models Available (2)



Model	Description
GRGS_RL02bis_MEAN-FIELD (2011)	<u>Data:</u> ~1 year more data than EIGEN-6S. <u>Type of Model:</u> Static terms (240x240) + secular + annual +semiannual to 50x50. <u>COMMENT:</u> Model used in CNES GDR-D Standards (Jan. 2012).
GIFXXX	Data: GRACE
Time Series. GRGS RL02, 10-day gravity fields (Bruinsma et al., 2002).	<u>Data:</u> GRACE + Lageos1+Lageos2 <u>Type of Model:</u> 50x50 10-day time series; Accompanied by specific static model. Available Period: 2002 – 201X).
Time Series. NASA GSFC, Weekly Smoothed gravity fields (Lemoine et al., 2011).	<u>Data:</u> 11 SLR+DORIS Satellites: Lageos1, Lageos2, Starlette + Stella+Ajisai+ TOPEX+Envisat+Jason2+Westpac +Larets+Cryosat2 <u>Type of Model:</u> 4x4 time series + static model (GOCO2S)







Model	Description
Time Series-Derived. NASA GSFC, (Lemoine et al., 2012).	 <u>Data:</u> 11 SLR+DORIS Satellites: Lageos1, Lageos2, Starlette + Stella+Ajisai+ TOPEX+Envisat+Jason2+Westpac +Larets+Cryosat2 <u>Type of Model:</u> Fitted terms (secular, annual, semiannual to 4x4; 18.6 yr for C20) + GOCO2S as static field. <u>COMMENT:</u> (1). Used in GSFC std1204 TOPEX/Jason1/Jason2 orbits; and in GSCWD15, 18 DORIS SINEX Time Series. (2). Update Soon (new Time series being developed with data through December 2012; Various improvements; and More satellites).







Model	Description
GRACE-derived monthly solutions (CSR, GFZ, JPL)	 <u>Data:</u> GRACE-only <u>Type of Model:</u> XXxXX monthly model. <u>Comment:</u> (1) C20 term not necessarily reliably determined from GRACE-data alone. (2) No solutions available outside of GRACE-time period.



Recommendations

- Use a "fitted" model Determined over 1993-2012 (as with GSCWD15, 18).
- (2) Use Different Gravity Models for Different Time Periods.
- (2A) Before 2002. Use EIGEN-GL04S1 (*Förste et al., 2008*).

<u>Advantage</u>: This model was used in GDR-C standards (CNES), and with std1007 orbits (NASA GSFC) – and before 2002 – it had reasonable performance. It also has the virtue of simplicity.

(2B) Before 2002: Modified static comprehensive model (see 3A) without linear extrapolated terms and fix-ups for low degree terms (C20, C21, C22, S22, S21, C30).



Recommendations



(3A)

After 2002. Many Different possibilities:

I. Static Comprehensive Model: **GRGS_RL02bis_MEAN-FIELD** (2011) or **EIGEN-6C2** (GFZ/GRGS, Förste et al., 2012).

II. Time Series: E.G. GRGS RL02;

<u>Advantages:</u> Highest fidelity gravity field representation; Models can be interpolated and made available on weekly basis.

<u>Disadvantages</u>: Solutions will not be available in time to compute solutions with 2013 DORIS data.